

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,511	02/26/2004	Bruce C. Campbell	86927CPK	6480	
7590 12/28/2005		EXAMINER			
Paul A. Leipold			SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM		
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street			1774		
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				$\mathcal{L}$
·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/787,511	CAMPBELL ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Betelhem Shewareged	1774	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2004		
· —		action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the me	erits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	-		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-25 is/are withdraw			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:		)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		NI	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •		
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>		ed in this National Sta	ge
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		od.	
	see and allaction detailed emiss assert for a list of	or the doraned depice het receive	.u.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-15)	2)
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/26/04, 7/28/05</u> .	6)  Other:	FF. 101	•

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
 121:

- Claims 1-21, drawn to recording element, classified in class 428, subclass
   32.17.
- II. Claims 22-25, drawn to method of printing, classified in class 347, subclass 105.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, (i.e., the product can be used as a wall paper).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Chris P. Konkol on 12/15/2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying

to this Office action. Claims 22-25 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-12, 14-18 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Campbell et al. (US 6,698,880 B1)
- 8. The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any

invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Campbell discloses an ink jet recording element having a porous substrate and a surface layer, which traps pigment particles (hereinafter referred as pigment trapping layer) over the porous substrate (abstract). The porous substrate has pores with a total void volume of at least 20 cm³/m² (col. 3, line 28). The limitations of claims 5-11 are disclosed in col. 3, line 51 thru col. 5, line 8). The pigment trapping layer is equivalent to the claimed porous ink receptive layer and is disclosed in col. 7, lines 22-42. The pigment trapping layer further comprises a cationic mordant (col. 8, line 34).

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 9. Claims 1, 6, 12-14 and 17-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Wexler (US 6,695,447 B1).

Wexler discloses an ink jet recording element comprising a support, an ink carrier liquid receptive layer on the support, a dye trapping layer on the ink carrier liquid receptive layer and an ink transporting layer on the dye trapping layer (abstract). The dye trapping layer is equivalent to the claimed porous ink receptive layer and is disclosed in col. 3, line 41 thru col. 4, line 58. The support can be porous such as paper (col. 6, line 15).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wexler (US 6,695,447 B1) in view of Campbell et al. (US 6,698,880 B1).

Wexler discloses an ink jet recording element comprising a support, an ink carrier liquid receptive layer on the support, a dye trapping layer on the ink carrier liquid receptive layer and an ink transporting layer on the dye trapping layer (abstract). The dye trapping layer is equivalent to the claimed porous ink receptive layer and is disclosed in col. 3, line 41 thru col. 4, line 58). The support can be porous such as paper (col. 6, line 15).

Campbell discloses an ink jet recording element having a porous substrate and a surface layer, which traps pigment particles (hereinafter referred as pigment trapping layer) over the porous substrate (abstract). The porous substrate has pores with a total void volume of at least 20 cm³/m² (col. 3, line 28). The limitations of claims 5-11 are disclosed in col. 3, line 51 thru col. 5, line 8). The pigment trapping layer is equivalent to the claimed porous ink receptive layer and is disclosed in col. 7, lines 22-42. The pigment trapping layer further comprises a cationic mordant (col. 8, line 34).

Application/Control Number: 10/787,511

Page 6

**Art Unit: 1774** 

Wexler and Campbell are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the ink jet recording element art. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the porous substrate of Campbell with the invention of Wexler in order to readily absorb the amount of ink applied to create various images (see col. 3, line 45 of Campbell).

### **Conclusion**

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00AM-4:30PM.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B.S. December 23, 2005.

BEYELHEM SHEWAREGED DRIMARY EXAMINER